



LAWRENCE
LIVERMORE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

UCRL-CONF-206600

Measurement of Electron Impact Collisional Excitation Cross Sections of Ni to Ga-Like Gold

M.J May, P. Beiersdorfer, N. Jordan, J.H. Scofield, K.J.
Reed, S.B. Hansen, K.B. Fournier, M.F. Gu, G.V.
Brown, F.S. Porter, R. Kelley, C.A. Kilbourne, K.R.
Boyce

September 16, 2004

12th International Conference on the Physics of Highly
Charged Ions
Vilnius, Lithuania
September 6, 2004 through September 11, 2004

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

III. PLASMAS AT THE LIVERMORE ELECTRON BEAM ION TRAP

The gold plasmas for the measurements were created in the Livermore electron beam ion trap EBIT-I [8,9]. Plasmas having a monoenergetic electron beam with energies, E_{beam} , of 2.92, 3.53 and 4.54 and 5.54 ± 0.04 keV were utilized for the cross section measurements. The electron beam had a Gaussian electron energy distribution with a full width half maximum of ≈ 50 eV. The data presented here were taken by using two different trapping cycles. The first had a single beam energy. The second started at a lower energy (e.g. 2.92 keV) for 43 ms and then switched to a higher energy (e.g. 5.54 keV) for 7 ms. The lower beam energy created the ions of interest. The higher beam energy excited the transitions necessary for the cross section measurements. Each trapping cycle condition was repeated continuously for 8 to 12 s before the trap was emptied. Each experimental condition required repeating the trapping cycle at the same conditions for ≈ 12 hrs to record sufficient signal in the weak radiative recombination (RR) emission. Details of the experimental conditions can be found in Ref. [5,10,11].

The GSFC microcalorimeter (XRS) [12,13] at Livermore recorded the collisionally excited gold lines from the $4f \rightarrow 3d$ and $5f \rightarrow 3d$ x-ray transitions between 2.0 to 4.0 keV and the RR features of Ni recombining into Cu, Cu recombining into Zn, etc., from 5.0 to 8.0 keV. A sample raw spectrum is shown in figure 1 for a plasma having $E_{beam} = 4.54$ keV. The XRS detector head consisted of an array of 30 active ion-implanted thermistors with a $8.5 \mu\text{m}$ thick HgTe photon absorber. The thermistors directly measured the temperature change of a single photon absorbed by the HgTe absorber which was cooled to 59 mK. The maximum count rate was limited to ≈ 100 counts per second across the entire array. The spectral resolution was ≈ 12 eV across the entire spectral range for these measurements.

IV. CROSS SECTION CALCULATIONS

HULLAC [3], FAC [6] and DWS [7] were used to calculate the electron impact collisional cross sections for the 3d→4f and 3d→5f excitations. HULLAC computed the energy level structure for each ion from the Dirac equation with a parametric potential. Total electron collisional excitation cross sections were calculated semi-relativistically in the distorted wave approximation. DWS calculated fully relativistic distorted wave cross sections and utilized the Dirac-Fock-Slater potential. FAC calculated cross section in the distorted wave using the factorization formula from HULLAC and a variant of the potential used by DWS. FAC and DWS provided the cross sections between the individual magnetic sub-levels. The cross sections calculated by the three codes for the Cu-like 3d_{3/2}→5f_{5/2} excitation are compared in figure 2. In general, the agreement was good between the total cross sections calculated by all three codes. The variations between the different calculations were 10-20%. The magnetic sub-level cross sections from FAC were in good agreement with DWS. The agreement between the cross sections for the 3d→5f excitations were slightly better than those for the 3d→4f excitations.

V. COLLISIONAL EXCITATIONS CROSS SECTION MEASUREMENTS

The total cross sections for the 3d→4f and 3d→5f excitations for Ni-like to Ga-like gold were determined from the intensities of the collisionally excited (CE) lines and RR emission recorded by the XRS. Details of the method can be found in Ref [11,14]. The cross sections are related to the line and RR intensities by the formula:

$$\sigma_{CE} = \frac{1}{B_{ai}} \frac{\sum_j G_j^{RR} \eta_j^{RR} T_j^{RR} \sigma_j^{RR} I^{CE}}{G^{CE} \eta^{CE} T^{CE}} \frac{I^{CE}}{I^{RR}}$$

The sum, j , is over the fine structure levels. The intensities, I , are determined from the fits of the CE and RR features. The variables η and T are the XRS detector efficiency and filter transmissions, respectively. The variable B_{ai} is the branching ratio that accounts for autoionization. The CE lines and RR features from EBIT-I plasmas are polarized. The

emission is viewed 90° from the direction of the beam. The polarization, P , is accounted for in the determination of the cross sections. The General Relativistic Atomic Structure Program (GRASP) [15,16] provided the RR cross sections that accounted for the polarization effects in EBIT-I and allowed simulation of the RR features for comparison with the measured spectra. For the line emission, the variable, G , is the angular distribution of the polarization, and $G=3/(3-P)$ for a dipole transition at 90° . The polarization is a function of the magnetic sublevel cross sections which were calculated by DWS. For the Ni-like $3d_{3/2} \rightarrow 5f_{5/2}$ excitation having $J=0 \rightarrow 1$, $P = (\sigma_{-1} - 2\sigma_0 + \sigma_{+1}) / (\sigma_{-1} + 2\sigma_0 + \sigma_{+1})$. The polarization is ≈ 0.3 at a $E_{Beam} = 4.54$ keV.

The calculated total cross sections for the Cu-like (Au^{50+}) $3d_{3/2} \rightarrow 5f_{5/2}$ transition are compared with the measured values in figure 3. The points are the measured cross sections. The error bars on each point included the statistical error from the counts in the spectral line and RR features, the uncertainty in the fits to the line or RR features in each charge state, and the uncertainty in the XRS photometric calibration. The experimental cross sections are in good agreement with the calculations. Experimental cross section determinations are now in progress for the other transitions shown in figure 1. Preliminary results indicate that in some cases discrepancies with theory may be as large as 30%.

This work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. DoE by the University of California Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract W-7405-ENG-48.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. E. Foord *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 992 (2000).
- [2] S. H. Glenzer *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 045002 (2001).
- [3] A. Bar-Shalom *et al*, Jour. of Quant. Spect. and Rad. Trans. **71**, 169 (2001).
- [4] B. G. Wilson *et al*, Radiative Properties of Hot Dense Matter, edited by W. Goldstein, C. Hooper, J. Gauthier, J. Seely and R. Lee (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).
- [5] K.L. Wong *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 235001-1 (2003).
- [6] M.F. Gu, Proc. of the 14th APS Topical Conference on Atomic Processes in Plasmas (2004).
- [7] H. L. Zhang, et al., Phys. Rev. A, **41**,198 (1990)
- [8] R. Marrs *et al*, Phys. Today **47**, 27 (1994).
- [9] M. A. Levine *et al*, Phys. Scripta **22**, 157 (1988).
- [10] M.J. May *et al*, Phys. Rev. E. **68**, 036402 (2003).
- [11] M.J. May *et al*, Proc. of the 14th APS Topical Conference on Atomic Processes in Plasmas (2004).
- [12] F.S. Porter *et. al.*, Proc. SPIE **4140**, 407-418 (2000).
- [13] F.S. Porter *et. al.* Rev. Sci. Instrum. (In Press).
- [14] H. Chen *et. al.*, Astrophysical Journal **567**, L169 (2002).
- [15] F.A. Parpia *et al*, Comput. Phys. Commun **94**, 249 (1996).
- [16] J.H. Scofield, Phys. Rev. A, **9**, No. 3, 3054 (1989).

FIGURES




FIG. 1. Raw XRS spectrum in an EBIT-I plasma having an E_{beam} of 4.54 keV.




FIG. 2. Comparisons of calculated collisional impact cross sections for the $3d_{3/2} \rightarrow 5f_{5/2}$ Cu-like (Au^{50+}) excitations from HULLAC, DWS and FAC.




FIG. 3. Measured collisional excitation cross sections for the $3d_{3/2} \rightarrow 5f_{5/2}$ excitation in Cu-like (Au^{50+}) and comparisons to distorted wave calculations.